

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO.   FILING DATE   FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/672,91	7 09/28/0	00 RAFFAELLI		D	INL-00056
			EXAMINER		
		QM32/0706			
WARN IP LAW OFFICE				MERRY, W	
P.O. BOX 70098				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCHESTER	HILLS MI 4	18307	,		•
				3723	
				DATE MAILED:	
					07/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

...

Application No. **09/672,917** 

Applicant(s)

Raffaelli

Examiner

Willie Berry, Jr.

Art Unit **3723** 



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 24, 2001 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-20 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claims **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a)  $\square$  All b)  $\square$  Some\* c)  $\square$  None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4 20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/672,917

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following phrases in the claims are vague, indefinite, and/or awkwardly and confusingly worded:

I. "an opening.....through side planar side" (claim 1, 10, and 17; lines 8-9, 10-11, and 11 respectively). Where does the opening start?

II. "a plurality....one swarf" (claim 10, line 8). The phrase is awkwardly worded.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 9, 10, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lupi.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,917

Art Unit: 3723

Lupi discloses a rotary edging wheel comprising: a hub portion (2), a circumferential cutting surface (4), an opening (opening the wings [5 and 6] form), a planar side (not numbered but shown in figure 1), a plurality of swarf grooves (11), a diamond abrasive grit (14), and a circumferential groove (not numbered but shown in figure 3).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-8, 11-15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lupi.

Lupi discloses as discussed above.

Lupi does not disclose the specific length and angle of the swarf grooves and the specific attachment means and size of the abrasive grit.

The specific length and angle of the swarf grooves and the specific attachment means and size of the abrasive grit would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since it is within the general skill of a worker in the art to select length, angle, size, and means for attachment on the basis of their suitability for the user's preference as a matter of obvious design choice.

Art Unit: 3723

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Willie Berry whose telephone number is (703) 308-7467.

WB

Willie Berry, Jr. :wbj July 1, 2001

> Timothy V. Eley Primary Examiner

Page 4